



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Toshiyuki MIYABASHI, et al
Serial No.: 09/976,671 Group No.: 1714
Filed: October 12, 2001 Examiner: Callie E. Shosho
For: METHOD OF PREPARATION OF POLYMER EMULSION AND INK COMPOSITION
COMPRISING THE POLYMER EMULSION

Attorney Docket No.: U 013667-3

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

We draw the attention of the Examiner to the attached non-English Japanese
Office Action in respect of corresponding Japanese Patent Application No. : JP 2001-
315970 and an English-language version thereof.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

*(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is **mandatory**;
Express Mail certification is optional.)*

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

- ☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.
O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. 1.10*

- ☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail.

- ☒ as "Express Mail Post Office to Address"
Mailing Label No. EV 481672760 US
(mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

- ☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. to (708) 872-9306

Date: February 8, 2005


Signature

GERALDINE MARTI
(type or print name of person certifying)


***WARNING:** Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" **must** have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing
label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).
"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is
an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this
requirement will **not** be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at
56,442.

Form PTO-1449 is attached with reference copies.

Respectfully submitted,



CLIFFORD J. MASS
LADAS & PARRY LLP
26 WEST 61ST STREET
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10023
REG. NO.30,086(212)708-1890



02-09-05

1714
1FwApplicant's Docket No. U 013667-3

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Toshiyuki MIYABASHI, et al
Serial No.: 09/976,671 Group No.: 1714
Filed: October 12, 2001 Examiner: Callie E. Shosho
For: METHOD OF PREPARATION OF POLYMER EMULSION AND INK COMPOSITION
COMPRISING THE POLYMER EMULSION

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
ATTENTION: GROUP DIRECTOR

TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AFTER
MAILING DATE OF FINAL ACTION OR NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE
BUT BEFORE PAYMENT OF ISSUE FEE (37 C.F.R. 1.97(d))

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. 1.97: "(d) An information disclosure statement shall be considered by the Office if filed by the applicant after the period specified in paragraph (c) of this section [i.e., after the mailing date of any final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application], provided that the information disclosure statement is filed on or before payment of the issue fee and is accompanied by:

- (1) The statement specified in paragraph (e) of this section; and
- (2) The fee set forth in § 1.17(p)."

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is **mandatory**;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

- ☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. 1.10*

- ☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail. ☒ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
Mailing Label No. EV 481672760 US
(mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

- ☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. to (703) 872-9306

Signature

Date: February 8, 2005GERALDINE MARTI

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

NOTE: If the information disclosure statement that contains the items required by 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d) is filed before, or simultaneously with, the payment of the issue fee, then it will be considered. See Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40) and 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d).

TIME OF TRANSMITTAL OF ACCOMPANYING INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

1. The information disclosure statement transmitted herewith is being filed *after* a final action under § 1.113, or a notice of allowance under § 1.311, whichever occurs first, but before, or simultaneously with, the payment of the issue fee.

WARNING: *"A petition for suspension of action to allow applicant time to submit an information disclosure statement will be denied as failing to present good and sufficient reasons, since 37 C.F.R. 1.97 provides adequate recourse for the timely submission of prior art for consideration by the examiner." Notice of July 6, 1992 (1141 O.G. 63). But see § 103(b) and (c), limited suspension of action in a continued prosecution application (CPA) filed under § 1.53(d) and in a request for continued examination (RCE) under § 1.114.*

STATEMENT, PETITION AND FEE

2. In accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d):
 - A. Accompanying this transmittal is a statement, as specified in 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e).
 - B. Applicant hereby petitions for the consideration of the accompanying information disclosure statement. 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d)(2).
 - C. Applicant submits the petition fee set forth in § 1.17(p) (\$180.00).

NOTE: *"The petition should be directed to the Group Director of the examining group handling the application. The petition need do nothing more than request consideration of the information being submitted." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40).*

FEE DUE

3. Petition fee due (§ 1.17(p)): \$180.00

METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FEE

4.
 - ☒ Attached is a check for \$180.00.
 - ☐ Charge Account _____ \$180.00.A duplicate of this petition is attached.

If any additional petition fees are due, please charge Account 12-0425

Reg. No. 30086

Tel. No. (212) 708-1890

Customer No.: 00140



SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

CLIFFORD J. MASS

(type or print name of practitioner)

P.O. Address

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
26 West 61st Street
New York, N.Y. 10023



Practitioner's Docket No. U 013667-3

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Toshiyuki MIYABASHI, et al
Serial No.: 09/976,671 Group No.: 1714
Filed: October 12, 2001 Examiner: Callie E. Shosho
For: METHOD OF PREPARATION OF POLYMER EMULSION AND INK COMPOSITION
COMPRISING THE POLYMER EMULSION

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT FOR INFORMATION DISCLOSURE UNDER 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.97(e)

NOTE: A statement must state either: "(1) that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement, or (2) that no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and to the knowledge of the person signing the statement after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in Section 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement." 37 C.F.R. Section 1.97(e).

Under the first statement under § 1.97(e), it does not matter whether any individual with a duty of disclosure actually knew about any of the information cited before receiving the search report. The date on the communication by the foreign patent office begins the 3-month period in the same manner as the mailing of an Office action starts a 3-month shortened statutory period for reply. See § 609B(5), M.P. E. P., 8th Edition.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is **mandatory**;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. 1.10*

☒ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
Mailing Label No. EV 481672760 US
(mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. to (703) 872-9306

Signature

Date: February 8, 2005

GERALDINE MARTI

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continue to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

02/11/2005-EABUBAK1 00000002.09976671

-01-FC:1806-

-180-00-OP

NOTE: "Section 1.97(e) makes it clear that a certification could contain either of two statements. One statement is that each item of information in an information disclosure statement was cited in a search report from a patent office outside the U.S. not more than three months prior to the filing date of the statement. Under this certification, it would not matter whether any individual with a duty actually knew about any of the information cited before receiving the search report. In the alternative, the certification could state that no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual having a duty to disclose more than three months prior to the filing of the statement." Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25, at 13. (emphasis added). Thus: "If an item of information is submitted within three months of being cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, the certification can be properly made regardless of any individual's previous knowledge of the information." *Id.*, 1135 O.G. at 19. See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

NOTE: "The date on the communication by the foreign patent office begins the 3-month period in the same manner as the mailing of an Office action starts a 3-month shortened statutory period for reply. If the communication contains two dates, the mailing date of the communication is the one which begins the 3-month period. The date which begins the 3-month period is not the date the communication was received by a foreign associate or the date it was received by a U.S. registered practitioner. Likewise, the statement will be considered to have been filed on the date the statement was received in the Office, or on an earlier date of mailing or transmission if accompanied by a properly executed certificate of mailing or facsimile transmission under 37 C.F.R. 1.8, or if it is in compliance with the provisions for "Express Mail" delivery under 37 C.F.R. 1.10." See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

NOTE: "The certification can be based on present, good faith knowledge about when information became known without a search of files being made." Thus,, for example, the certification of § 1.97(e) does not preclude the use of the certification in an application by corporations whose practitioners have over the years reviewed thousands of patents and technical publications even though they are unaware of the relevance of any one thereof to the application. Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-15, at 19.

See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition:

"If an information disclosure statement includes a copy of a dated communication from a foreign patent office which clearly shows that the statement is being submitted within 3 months of the date on the communication, the copy will be accepted as the required communication. It will be assumed, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, that the communication was for a counterpart foreign application.

In the alternative, a statement can be made if no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of the person signing the statement after making reasonable inquiry, neither was it known to any individual having a duty to disclose more than 3 months prior to the filing of the statement."

NOTE: A copy of the foreign search report need not be submitted with the certification. Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40).

NOTE: "The phrase 'after making reasonable inquiry' makes it clear that the individual making the certification has a duty to make reasonable inquiry regarding the facts that are being certified. The certification can be made by a registered practitioner who represents a foreign client and who relies on statements made by the foreign client as to the date the information first became known. A registered practitioner who receives information from a client without being informed whether the information was known for more than three months, however, cannot make the certification without making reasonable inquiry. For example, if an inventor gave a publication to the attorney prosecuting an application with the intent that it be cited to the Office, the attorney should inquire as to when that inventor became aware of the publication and should not submit a certification under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e)(2) to the Office until a satisfactory response is received. The certification can be based on present, good faith knowledge about when information became known without a search of files being made." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

NOTE: "Although it is recognized that an individual actually becomes aware of the information in the communication from a foreign patent office sometime after it was mailed, the mailing date of such a communication, if it occurs prior to a first awareness of the same information, would determine the date for filing of an information disclosure statement without a fee" in a certification procedure under Section 1.97(e). Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25, at 19 (emphasis added).

NOTE: The mere absence of an item of information for a foreign patent office communication is not intended to represent an opportunity to delay the submission of a item known more than three months prior to the filing of an information disclosure statement to an individual having the duty of disclosure under Section 1.56. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, 53,150 (Oct. 10, 1997).

NOTE: The IDS is considered filed as of the date it is received in the PTO, or on an earlier date of mailing of

transmission if done so with a properly executed certificate of mailing or facsimile transmission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8, or if it is in compliance with the provisions for "Express Mail" delivery under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10. See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

NOTE: "The certification under Section 1.97(e) should be made by a person who has knowledge of the facts being certified. The certification can be made by a practitioner who represents a foreign client and who relies on statements made by the foreign client as to the date the information first became known. A practitioner who receives information from a client without being informed whether the information was known for more than three months, however, cannot make the certification without making reasonable inquiry." Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25 at 19.

NOTE: "The term counterpart foreign patent application means that a claim for priority has been made in either the U.S. application or a foreign application based on the other, or that the disclosures of the U.S. and foreign patent applications are substantively identical (e.g., an application filed in the European Patent Office claiming the same U.K. priority as claimed in the U.S. application)." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

NOTE: "Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor." 37 C.F.R. Section 1.56(d) and

"Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

(1) each inventor named in the application;

(2) each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application." 37 C.F.R. Section 1.56(c).

IDENTIFICATION OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS BEING MADE

1. This statement is being made for the Information Disclosure Statement
☒ [X] accompanying this statement.
☐ [] filed _____
Date

STATEMENT

2. I, the person(s) signing below state:

that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was **first** cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. 37 C.F.R. Section 1.97(e)(1).

NOTE: The three month period starts from the mailing date of the foreign patent office communication. Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25 at 19. The mailing date is the "date on the communication by the foreign patent office." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

OR

that no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and to the knowledge of the person signing the statement after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in Section 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. 37 C.F.R. Section 1.97(e)(2).

NOTE: "The time at which information 'was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. 1.56(c)' is the time when the information was discovered in association with the application even if awareness of the materiality came later." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40).

IDENTIFICATION OF PERSON(S) MAKING THIS STATEMENT

3. The person making this statement is

(check each applicable item)

(a) ☐ the inventor(s) who signs below

SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR

(type name of inventor who is signing)

(b) ☐ a person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application, and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee, or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application (37 C.F.R. Section 1.56(c)) and who signs below.

SIGNATURE OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

(type name of person who is signing)

Address of person who is signing

(c) ☒ the practitioner who signs below on the basis of the information:

(check each applicable item)

- ☐ supplied by the inventor(s).
☒ supplied by an individual designated in Section 1.56(c).
☒ in the practitioner's file.

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

CLIFFORD J. MASS

(type or print name of practitioner)

P.O. Address


Reg. No. 30086

Tel. No. (212) 708-1890

Customer No.: 00140

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
26 West 61st Street
New York, N.Y. 10023

FORM PTO-1449

U. S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICEINFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Use several sheets if necessary)

ATTY. DOCKET NO.

SERIAL NO.

U 013667-3

09/976,671

APPLICANT

Toshiyuki MIYABASHI, et al.

FILING DATE

GROUP

OCTOBER 12, 2001

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	TRANSLATION	
					YES	NO
	AA	2001-131454	05/2001	JP		X
	AB	2001-146562	05/2001	JP		X
	AC	2001-294790	10/2001	JP		X
	AD	2001-040235	02/2001	JP		X
	AE	2000-281948	10/2000	JP		X
	AF	2000-072991	03/2000	JP		X
	AG	11-049974	02/1999	JP		X
	AH	11-029732	02/1999	JP		X
	AI	06-240155	08/1994	JP		X

OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Dates, Etc.)

	AJ	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 2001-131454 DATED MAY 15, 2001
	AK	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 2001-146562 DATED MAY 29, 2001
	AL	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 2001-294790 DATED OCTOBER 23, 2001
	AM	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 2001-040235 DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2001
	AN	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 2000-281948 DATED OCTOBER 10, 2000
	AO	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 2000-072991 DATED MARCH 7, 2000
	AP	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 11-049974 DATED FEBRUARY 23, 1999
	AQ	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 11-029732 DATED FEBRUARY 2, 1999
	AR	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN OF JP 06-240155 DATED AUGUST 30, 1994

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.